

**Rutgers University Student Instructional Rating**

(Online Survey)

<b>Popper F.</b> Fall 2015, 10:762:317:01 — Urban Muni Managmnt (index #19429) Enrollment= 17, Responses= 8  <b>Part A: University-                      wide Questions:</b>	Student Responses						Weighted Means			
	Strong Disagree 1				Strong Agree 5	No response	Section	Course	Level	Dept
1. The instructor was prepared for class and presented the material in an organized manner.	0	0	2	3	3	0	4.13	4.13	4.34	4.54
2. The instructor responded effectively to student comments and questions.	0	0	1	1	6	0	4.63	4.63	4.27	4.53
3. The instructor generated interest in the course material.	0	0	1	0	7	0	4.75	4.75	4.21	4.50
4. The instructor had a positive attitude toward assisting all students in understanding course material.	0	0	0	1	7	0	4.88	4.88	4.45	4.63
5. The instructor assigned grades fairly.	0	0	1	2	5	0	4.50	4.50	4.40	4.54
6. The instructional methods encouraged student learning.	0	0	1	2	5	0	4.50	4.50	4.12	4.37
7. I learned a great deal in this course.	0	0	1	3	4	0	4.38	4.38	4.07	4.36
8. I had a strong prior interest in the subject matter and wanted to take this course.	0	0	0	4	4	0	4.50	4.50	3.74	3.89
	<b>Poor</b>				<b>Excellent</b>					
9. I rate the teaching effectiveness of the instructor as:	0	0	1	4	3	0	4.25	4.25	4.11	4.33

<b>Popper F.</b> Fall 2015, 10:762:317:01 — Urban Muni Managmnt (index #19429) Enrollment= 17, Responses= 8  <b>Part A: University-            wide Questions:</b>	<b>Student Responses</b>				<b>Weighted Means</b>					
	<b>Strong            Disagree</b> 1			<b>Strong            Agree</b> 5	<b>No            response</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Course</b>	<b>Level</b>	<b>Dept</b>	
10. I rate the overall quality of the course as:	0	0	2	2	4	0	<b>4.25</b>	<b>4.25</b>	<b>4.08</b>	<b>4.32</b>

**What do you like best about this course?:**

“Professor Popper is a really interesting guy. He's clearly a very deep thinker, but he sometimes goes into tangents on topics, which can be distracting. Sometimes it wasn't especially clear whether we had class or not. ”

“I liked the reading material. Very thought-provoking and perspective expanding.”

“The in-class discussions. ”

“The 3 hour layout”

---

**If you were teaching this course, what would you do differently?:**

“I would provide all the assignment prompts in the beginning of the semester. I would grade based off of short answer essays and not papers. I would create a project that creates a proposal to municipal governments. I think there's too much analysis that already happens in society and college, that a project proposal could be best used to learn more about the class. Specifically geared towards New Brunswick.”

“Not have it for 3hours. Maybe make it a two hour or half online. It was too boring to focus and gather information with no PowerPoint etc. ”

“Perhaps, a little more structure. ”

“Have a sakai page ”

---

**In what ways, if any, has this course or the instructor encouraged your intellectual growth and progress?:**

“Professor Popper has a wealth of information and knowledge in his mind, as well as years of experience. There is never enough time for him to share all his ideas, although he emphasizes class participation.”

“Thought-provoking conversations. ”

---

**Other comments or suggestions::**

“Professor Popper, Thanks for everything.”

---