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## Walking and cycling are healthy and sustainable means of transport

$\square$ Contribute to daily physical activity, aerobic fitness, and cardiovascular health
$\square$ Help to protect against obesity, diabetes, and various other diseases
$\square$ Can improve individual health and help to reduce air pollution, carbon emissions, congestion, noise, and traffic dangers
$\square$ Important to monitor rates of walking and cycling over time and to assess differences among population subgroups

## Rates of active travel to work have declined sharply in the USA since 1960
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## Trends for walking and cycling for all trip purposes



# Has there been a turnaround? Are rates of walking and cycling rising? 

$\square$ We used the two most recent NHTS surveys to measure changes in active travel in the United States from 2001 to 2009
$\square$ We analyzed the NHTS data on walking and cycling from:
$\square$ trip-based perspective of travel behavior
$\square$ public health perspective of population physical activity rates
■ methodology developed by Merom et al. (2010) for public health analysis of travel surveys

## Many similarities and only few differences between NHTS 2001/2009

$\square$ Similarities:

- Random digit dialing, stratified sampling, travel diaries
- Proxy interviews with adults for people aged $\leq 15$ years
- Timing (March 2000 to May 2001 and March 2008 to April 2009)
$\square$ Sampling during all days, including weekends and holidays
$\square$ Civilian, non-institutionalized population
- Improved reporting of walking and cycling trips through multiple prompts
$\square$ Splitting of round trips (e.g. walking the dog)
$\square$ Walk and bike trips to and from public transport included
- Trips defined "from one address to another"
$\square$ Differences:
- Response rates: 2001 41\% and 2009 20\%
- Children younger than 5 excluded in 2009
- More add-ons for 2009 NHTS


## Methods

$\square$ Trip based analysis:
$\square$ Daily frequency, duration, and distance of walking \& cycling per capita
$\square$ Person based analysis:
$\square$ Aggregate trip characteristics (number, duration, and distance), match to the trip maker, and add to the person dataset
$\square$ Daily physical activity analysis:

- [1] any walking or cycling, [2] 30 minutes or more of walking and cycling, and [3] 30 minutes or more of walking and cycling accumulated in bouts of at least 10 minutes each
$\square$ Weekly active travel analysis:
$\square$ Proportions of population subgroups making $0,1-4$, and 5 or more walk and bike trips per week


## Annual Walking and Cycling Trips, Duration, and Distance per Capita, 2001-2009

|  | 2001 |  | 2009 |  | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean | 95\% CI | Mean | 95\% CI | 2001-2009 |
| NUMBER OF TRIPS |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trips per capita per year |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| Walking | 168.6 | 164.3-173.0 | 185.8 | 179.9-191.6 | +17.2* |
| Cycling | 12.4 | 11.3-13.1 | 14.2 | 12.8-16.1 | +1.8* |
| Active travel | 181.0 | 176.7-185.4 | 200.4 | 194.2-206.2 | +19.3* |
| DURATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hours per capita per year |  |  |  |  | $\square$ |
| Walking | 33.0 | 31.9-36.1 | 37.7 | 36.1-39.4 | +4.8* |
| Cycling | 4.5 | 4.1-5.0 | 4.6 | 4.1-5.1 | +0.0 |
| Active travel | 37.5 | 36.3-38.8 | 42.3 | 40.6-44.0 | +4.8* |
| DISTANCE |  |  |  |  |  |
| Miles per capita per year |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking | 103.3 | (126.7-136.5) | 112.4 | (133.2-146.0) | +9.1* |
| Cycling | 19.4 | (17.2-21.9) | 24.1 | (21.2-27.4) | +4.8* |
| Active travel | 122.6 | (143.8-158.8) | 136.5 | (154.4-173.4) | +13.9* |

Note. Excludes respondents younger than 5 years.
Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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## Proportion of Americans Reaching Recommended Daily Physical Activity Levels Through Active Transport



## Prevalence of 30 min Walking per Day by Population Subgroup in the USA, 2001-2009



Only persons 5 years and older were included.
Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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## Prevalence of 30 min Cycling per Day by Population Subgroup in the USA, 2001-2009



Only persons 5 years and older were included.
Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009

## Prevalence of 5 or More Walk Trips per Week by Population Subgroup, 2001-2009



Only persons 16 years and older were included.
Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009

## Prevalence of No Walk Trips per Week by Population Subgroup, 2001-2009



## Key Trends

$\square$ walk share of all trips has risen, and the frequency, duration, and distance of walk trips per capita also increased
$\square$ more walkers accumulating 30 minutes a day, without changes in the prevalence of 'any walking'
$\square$ the prevalence of walking at least 30 minutes per day-both with and without the 10-minute bout criterion-has increased
$\square$ only slight decreases in 'no walking' but considerable increases '5 or more walk trips' per week
$\square$ no significant increase in cycling trip rates or prevalence on a national basis

## Socioeconomics of Active Travel

$\square$ Active travel declined significantly among children, seniors and women
$\square$ Increases in the prevalence of walking 30 minutes a day for men, the age group 25-64, the employed, the well educated, and people without a car

- In both 2001 and 2009, the prevalence of walking 30 minutes a day was higher among Hispanics, African Americans, and Asians than among whites


## NHTS Limitations

$\square$ restricted to land-line telephones, excluding cell-phone-only households
$\square$ lower survey response rate in 2009 (20\%) compared to 2001 (41\%)
$\square$ self-reported estimates of time and distance of walk and bike trips might not be accurate

## Conclusions

- Implement a comprehensive, integrated package of policies and programs to increase walking and cycling
$\square$ Special consideration for women, children, and seniors, who are the most vulnerable pedestrians and cyclists
$\square$ Educational and promotional programs to encourage a more active lifestyle
$\square$ Individualized marketing schemes may help target particular groups
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