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Walking and cycling are healthy and sustainable means of transport

- Contribute to daily physical activity, aerobic fitness, and cardiovascular health
- Help to protect against obesity, diabetes, and various other diseases
- Can improve individual health and help to reduce air pollution, carbon emissions, congestion, noise, and traffic dangers

- Important to monitor rates of walking and cycling over time and to assess differences among population subgroups
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Rates of active travel to work have declined sharply in the USA since 1960

Sources: Calculated by the authors based on U.S. Census and American Community Survey
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Trends for walking and cycling for all trip purposes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Walk</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicycle</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public Transport</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Calculated by the authors based on NPTS and NHTS.
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Has there been a turnaround? Are rates of walking and cycling rising?

- We used the two most recent NHTS surveys to measure changes in active travel in the United States from 2001 to 2009.

- We analyzed the NHTS data on walking and cycling from:
  - trip-based perspective of travel behavior
  - public health perspective of population physical activity rates
    - methodology developed by Merom et al. (2010) for public health analysis of travel surveys
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Many similarities and only few differences between NHTS 2001/2009

- **Similarities:**
  - Random digit dialing, stratified sampling, travel diaries
  - Proxy interviews with adults for people aged $\leq 15$ years
  - Timing (March 2000 to May 2001 and March 2008 to April 2009)
  - Sampling during all days, including weekends and holidays
  - Civilian, non-institutionalized population
  - Improved reporting of walking and cycling trips through multiple prompts
  - Splitting of round trips (e.g. walking the dog)
  - Walk and bike trips to and from public transport included
  - Trips defined “from one address to another”

- **Differences:**
  - Response rates: 2001 41% and 2009 20%
  - Children younger than 5 excluded in 2009
  - More add-ons for 2009 NHTS
Methods

- **Trip based analysis:**
  - Daily frequency, duration, and distance of walking & cycling per capita

- **Person based analysis:**
  - Aggregate trip characteristics (number, duration, and distance), match to the trip maker, and add to the person dataset

- **Daily physical activity analysis:**
  - [1] any walking or cycling, [2] 30 minutes or more of walking and cycling, and [3] 30 minutes or more of walking and cycling accumulated in bouts of at least 10 minutes each

- **Weekly active travel analysis:**
  - Proportions of population subgroups making 0, 1-4, and 5 or more walk and bike trips per week
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Annual Walking and Cycling Trips, Duration, and Distance per Capita, 2001-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NUMBER OF TRIPS</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trips per capita per year</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>168.6</td>
<td>164.3-173.0</td>
<td>185.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>11.3-13.1</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active travel</td>
<td>181.0</td>
<td>176.7-185.4</td>
<td>200.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DURATION</th>
<th>Hours per capita per year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>31.9-36.1</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>36.1-39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.1-5.0</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.1-5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active travel</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>36.3-38.8</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>40.6-44.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DISTANCE</th>
<th>Miles per capita per year</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>Difference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>103.3</td>
<td>(126.7-136.5)</td>
<td>112.4</td>
<td>(133.2-146.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycling</td>
<td>19.4</td>
<td>(17.2-21.9)</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>(21.2-27.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active travel</td>
<td>122.6</td>
<td>(143.8-158.8)</td>
<td>136.5</td>
<td>(154.4-173.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P<0.05

Note. Excludes respondents younger than 5 years.
Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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Proportion of Americans Reaching Recommended Daily Physical Activity Levels Through Active Transport

Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009; (* P<=0.05)
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Prevalence of 30 min Walking per Day by Population Subgroup in the USA, 2001-2009

Only persons 5 years and older were included.
Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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Prevalence of 30 min Cycling per Day by Population Subgroup in the USA, 2001-2009

Gender Age Education Car Ownership

Only persons 5 years and older were included. Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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## Prevalence of 5 or More Walk Trips per Week by Population Subgroup, 2001-2009

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subgroup</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2009</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-44</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45-64</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than HS Degree</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS Degree</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univer Degree</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Only persons 16 years and older were included.

Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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Prevalence of No Walk Trips per Week by Population Subgroup, 2001-2009

Only persons 16 years and older were included.

Source: Calculated by the authors based on NHTS 2001 and NHTS 2009
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Key Trends

- Walk share of all trips has risen, and the frequency, duration, and distance of walk trips per capita also increased.
- More walkers accumulating 30 minutes a day, without changes in the prevalence of ‘any walking’.
- The prevalence of walking at least 30 minutes per day—both with and without the 10-minute bout criterion—has increased.
- Only slight decreases in ‘no walking’ but considerable increases ‘5 or more walk trips’ per week.
- No significant increase in cycling trip rates or prevalence on a national basis.
Active travel declined significantly among children, seniors and women.

Increases in the prevalence of walking 30 minutes a day for men, the age group 25-64, the employed, the well educated, and people without a car.

In both 2001 and 2009, the prevalence of walking 30 minutes a day was higher among Hispanics, African Americans, and Asians than among whites.
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NHTS Limitations

- restricted to land-line telephones, excluding cell-phone-only households
- lower survey response rate in 2009 (20%) compared to 2001 (41%)
- self-reported estimates of time and distance of walk and bike trips might not be accurate
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Conclusions

- Implement a comprehensive, integrated package of policies and programs to increase walking and cycling
- Special consideration for women, children, and seniors, who are the most vulnerable pedestrians and cyclists
- Educational and promotional programs to encourage a more active lifestyle
- Individualized marketing schemes may help target particular groups
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